

## REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In this response, the applicant has canceled claims 1-5, 13-16, 51-55, 108 and 118. The cancellation of these claims does not imply that the applicant agrees with the rejection of certain claims within this group by the Examiner. Also, “cavity” has been replaced by “recess” in the claims to bring the claims more in line with the language of the rest of the specification.

### Claim Objections

The Examiner has objected to claim 108 and claim 109 because of antecedent problems. Reconsideration is requested in light of the following.

The applicant has canceled claim 108, and changed “the first mating member” to “the first engaging member” in claim 109 to eliminate the antecedent problem.

### Claim Rejections—35 USC §102

#### *Claims 1-5*

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-5 under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Ross et al.

The applicant has canceled claims 1-5 without prejudice, rendering moot this rejection.

*Claims 13-16 and 51-54*

The Examiner has rejected claims 13-16 and 51-54 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ross et al.

The applicant has canceled claims 13-16 and 51-54 without prejudice, rendering moot this rejection.

*Claims 25-29 and 31-35*

The Examiner has rejected claims 25-29 and 31-35 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sadler. Reconsideration thereof is requested in light of the following.

Independent claims 25 and 31 include the phrase “a display substantially limited to displaying results of computer operations performed remote from the display”.

The patent of Sadler, on the other hand, is directed to personal electronic devices, such as a personal electronic organizer, cellular telephones, portable televisions and calculators (second paragraph of Detailed Description). Cellular telephones, portable televisions and calculators are not substantially limited to displaying results of computer operations performed remote from the display. Moreover, personal electronic organizers are capable of performing computer operations such as performing arithmetic, word

processing, date book management, etc. and are therefore not substantially limited to displaying results of computer operations performed remote from the display

Therefore, reconsideration of claims 25 and 31, and of claims 26-29 and 32-35 that depend therefrom, is requested.

*Claims 103, 105-107, 109-110*

The Examiner has rejected claims 103, 105-107 and 109-110 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Satou. Reconsideration thereof is requested in light of the following.

Claim 103 has been amended to include the limitation of non-rejected claim 108 of record. Namely, claim 103 now recites that the first component and the second component are devoid of elements for receiving any of the four edges of the display monitor. Since claim 108 of record was not rejected by the Examiner, the applicant requests favorable reconsideration of claim 103, and of claims 105-107 and 109-110 that depend therefrom.

*Claims 113-114, 116-117, 119-120*

The Examiner has rejected claims 113-114, 116-117 and 119-120 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Satou. Reconsideration thereof is requested in light of the following.

Claim 113 has been amended to include the limitation of non-rejected claim 118 of record. Namely, claim 113 now recites that the first component and the second components are devoid of elements for receiving any of the four edges of the display monitor. Since claim 118 of record was not rejected by the Examiner, the applicant requests favorable reconsideration of claim 113, and of claims 114, 116-117 and 119-120 that depend therefrom.

*Claims 103-104, 111-113, 115, 121-122*

The Examiner has rejected claims 103-104, 111-113, 115, 121-122 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kunert. Reconsideration thereof is requested in light of the following.


Independent claims 103 and 113 now recite that the first component and the second component are devoid of elements for receiving any of the four edges of the display monitor, unlike what is taught in Kunert. Favorable reconsideration of claims 103 and 113, and of claims 104, 111-113, 115 and 121-122 that depend therefrom, is requested.

New Claims

New claims 125-135 have been added. Favorable consideration of these claims is earnestly requested.

For the aforementioned reasons, the applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "J. Moscovitch", written over a horizontal dashed line. The signature is fluid and cursive, with a large initial "J" and a long, sweeping underline that extends to the left.

Jerry Moscovitch